

NOTICE OF MEETING

Meeting	Regulatory Committee
Date and Time	Wednesday, 12th September, 2018 at 10.00 am
Place	Ashburton Hall, The Castle, Winchester, SO23 8UJ
Enquiries to	members.services@hants.gov.uk

John Coughlan CBE
Chief Executive
The Castle, Winchester SO23 8UJ

FILMING AND BROADCAST NOTIFICATION

This meeting may be recorded and broadcast live on the County Council's website. The meeting may also be recorded and broadcast by the press and members of the public – please see the Filming Protocol available on the County Council's website.

AGENDA

1. APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE

To receive any apologies for absence received.

2. DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

All Members who believe they have a Disclosable Pecuniary Interest in any matter to be considered at the meeting must declare that interest and, having regard to the circumstances described in Part 3 Paragraph 1.5 of the County Council's Members' Code of Conduct, leave the meeting while the matter is discussed, save for exercising any right to speak in accordance with Paragraph 1.6 of the Code. Furthermore all Members with a Non-Pecuniary interest in a matter being considered at the meeting should consider whether such interest should be declared, and having regard to Part 5, Paragraph 2 of the Code, consider whether it is appropriate to leave the meeting while the matter is discussed, save for exercising any right to speak in accordance with the Code.

3. MINUTES OF PREVIOUS MEETING (Pages 3 - 8)

To confirm the minutes of the previous meeting

4. DEPUTATIONS

To receive any deputations notified under Standing Order 12.

5. CHAIRMAN'S ANNOUNCEMENTS

To receive any announcements the Chairman may wish to make.

**6. BURNHAM COPSE PRIMARY SCHOOL NEWCHURCH ROAD
TADLEY (Pages 9 - 24)**

To consider a report of the Director of Economy, Transport and Environment regarding retrospective permission for the permanent siting of a modular classroom for use as a pre-school and school room at Burnham Copse Primary School, Newchurch Road, Tadley.

ABOUT THIS AGENDA:

On request, this agenda can be provided in alternative versions (such as large print, Braille or audio) and in alternative languages.

ABOUT THIS MEETING:

The press and public are welcome to attend the public sessions of the meeting. If you have any particular requirements, for example if you require wheelchair access, please contact members.services@hants.gov.uk for assistance.

County Councillors attending as appointed members of this Committee or by virtue of Standing Order 18.5; or with the concurrence of the Chairman in connection with their duties as members of the Council or as a local County Councillor qualify for travelling expenses.

Agenda Item 3

AT A MEETING of the Regulatory Committee of HAMPSHIRE COUNTY COUNCIL held at the castle, Winchester on Wednesday 25th July, 2018

Chairman:

* Councillor Peter Latham

- | | |
|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| * Councillor Judith Grajewski | * Councillor Alexis McEvoy |
| * Councillor Christopher Carter | * Councillor Russell Oppenheimer |
| * Councillor Mark Cooper | * Councillor Stephen Philpott |
| * Councillor Rod Cooper | * Councillor Roger Price |
| * Councillor Roland Dibbs | * Councillor Lance Quantrill |
| * Councillor Jane Frankum | * Councillor David Simpson |
| Councillor Marge Harvey | |
| * Councillor Keith House | |
| * Councillor Gary Hughes | * Present |

64. APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE

Apologies were received from Councillors Oppenheimer and Harvey.

65. DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

Members were mindful that where they believed they had a Disclosable Pecuniary Interest in any matter considered at the meeting they must declare that interest at the time of the relevant debate and, having regard to the circumstances described in Part 3, Paragraph 1.5 of the County Council's Members' Code of Conduct, leave the meeting while the matter was discussed, save for exercising any right to speak in accordance with Paragraph 1.6 of the Code. Furthermore Members were mindful that where they believed they had a Non-Pecuniary interest in a matter being considered at the meeting they considered whether such interest should be declared, and having regard to Part 5, Paragraph 2 of the Code, considered whether it was appropriate to leave the meeting whilst the matter was discussed, save for exercising any right to speak in accordance with the Code.

66. MINUTES OF PREVIOUS MEETING

The minutes of the last meeting were reviewed and agreed.

67. DEPUTATIONS

It was confirmed that ten deputations had been received for the meeting., which were all for Item 7 on the agenda; Waterbrook Esate, Alton.

68. CHAIRMAN'S ANNOUNCEMENTS

The Chairman announced that Rob Storey from Planning was leaving Hampshire County Council, and on behalf of the Committee thanked Rob for his hard work on Regulatory over the past several years.

Members were also reminded to inform Members Services of any requests for PPE equipment and the importance of bringing it along to site visits.

69. **APPLICATION TO CORRECT A MISTAKE MADE BY THE COMMONS REGISTRATION AUTHORITY AT BROXHEAD COMMON, IN THE PARISH OF HEADLEY (APPLICATION NO. 01/17)**

The Committee received a report from the Director of Culture, Communities and Business Services (Item 6 in the Minute Book) regarding an application to correct a mistake made by the commons registration authority at Broxhead Common in Headley.

The report was for information only, and it was confirmed that an application had been made and was due to be processed according to the details within the report. Following this stage, the outcome would be reported back to Committee.

Members were happy with the report.

70. **UNIT 7 WATERBROOK ESTATE, WATERBROOK ROAD, ALTON**

The Committee considered a report from the Head of Strategic Planning (Item 7 in the Minute Book) regarding an application for variation of conditions at Unit 7, Waterbrook Estate in Alton.

Committee were reminded of the location of the site in an aerial photograph, following a site visit a few weeks previously. Residential areas and industrial areas were marked, along with the preferred route for HGV's. A site plan also depicted activities and movements that took place there and it was confirmed that activity would be at a minimum at night.

The issues surrounding the application were summarised, and whilst noise had been a concern of residents, a noise evaluation had found this to be at a satisfactory level with no adverse noise impact. A location plan was shown, stating where the noise analysis had been done at four points in the surrounding area in a 'summer' setting, to account for windows being open on residential properties. Whilst there had been complaints of a 'gunshot' style noise disturbing residents, this was confirmed as being from a neighbouring concrete plant and not related to the application.

Ten deputations had been received for this item, including local residents Peter White, Helen Boyce, Martin Boyce, Doreen Dye, Nicholas Weeks, Justin Laughton and Rachel Palmer who all spoke against the application. Mr White felt that the noise assessment was misleading, and did not take into account the topography of the land, with his house being several stories higher than the industrial estate, which was nestled in a valley. Consequently, there was no screening or dampening of noise for the upstairs of the house. Mr Boyce spoke of sudden noises, like that of metal on the site, being intrusive and disruptive

compared to constant road noise. Mrs Dye expanded to say that noise at night travelled much further and would disrupt the sleep of residents and it was important that residential amenity was preserved. Mr Weeks told Committee how he felt the application went against policies including that of the Control of Pollution Act and the Hampshire Mineral and Waste Plan, by causing inappropriate noise and dust. He also stated how the World Health Organisation includes the right to privacy and the right to a good nights sleep. Mr Weeks felt the guidelines had already been broken and approving the application would make a mockery of having them in place at all. Mr Laughton confirmed he had written to the applicant five times requesting that HGV's respect the speed limit and agreed that the noise assessments were not sufficient. He also asked why the location for the deposit of road planings on site was so close to the houses rather than the opposite end of the site. Rachel Palmer had concerns over the noise of the HGV's entering and leaving the site and the fact that this was not witnessed by Members at the site visit. It was requested that the application be refused, or at least deferred pending another site visit and further investigation into the true impact of the noise.

Councillor Peter Hicks from Alton Town Council told Committee how he thought the distribution of the notices had been very limited and the revision of the conditions would set a dangerous precedent for the industrial estate. Ian Lofthouse spoke on behalf of the applicant in support of the application and the importance for the business, and confirmed that the noise assessment had been done according to Ordnance Survey data and did account for the relative heights of the residential areas and the site and was therefore accurate. Councillor Andrew Joy addressed Committee as the local member and supported the residents in their concerns over the noise at night. Cllr Joy didn't think that the site was in a good location and the proposal was not essential to the site operations. He thanked local residents for attending the meeting to speak as well as the County Planning officers for their work.

During questions of deputations, the following points were clarified:

- Residents felt that the noise assessment was flawed because the receptor was set at street level and a quiet part of the road, whereas the Wilson Road houses were significantly higher than street level and the noise was not dampened by the intervening industrial buildings.
- No complaints had been received from residents regarding noise during the day, but this was and would be very different to noise at night.
- There were residential dwellings (live/work units) within the industrial estate
- It was not known whether the HGV's had trackers fitted on them.
- Any intermittent noise risks had been discussed with the Environment Health Officers and determined to be manageable.
- Residents did not feel the noise assessment was biased, but they did feel that it was missing some of the big noise contributors like the weigh-bridge.

During questions of the officer, the following points were clarified:

- Whilst it was natural that noise would be concern of the residents, the noise assessment had concluded that there would be no unacceptable noise impact as a result of the proposed changes.
- The site was permitted for 612 vehicle movements per week, with a proportion of that to occur outside of the operating hours as detailed on page 65 of the report.
- Setting a precedent for night time operations within the industrial estate was not a material planning consideration unless it could be evidenced that there were similar activities in similar circumstances in the area.

During debate Members shared concerns over the noise impact on residents and felt that just because the site was already established, didn't mean that changes to conditions should be agreed without careful consideration. From the site visit and driving around the immediate area, many Members agreed that the location was not ideal for the use and felt that additional noise at night could be very intrusive for residents. On balance it was agreed that the application was contrary to Policy 10 in the Hampshire Minerals and Waste Plan. Committee did discuss the option of granting permission for one year to monitor the noise, but this amendment was lost on a vote.

RESOLVED:

Planning permission was REFUSED for the following reason:
On the basis of the information submitted, the Waste Planning Authority considers that the proposed night time operations will result in unacceptable noise impacts to occupiers of residential property in the surrounding area, contrary to Policy 10 (Protecting public health, safety and amenity) of the Hampshire Minerals and Waste Plan 2013 and paragraph 180 of the National Planning Policy Framework 2018.

Voting:

Favour: 4

Against: 10

71. REGULATION 3 DEVELOPMENT PROTOCOL

The Committee received a report from the Head of Strategic Planning (Item 8 in the Minute Book), which detailed Hampshire County Council's approach to planning enforcement for the County Council's own (Regulation 3) developments.

It was confirmed that enforcement was usually done by the District or Borough and that it was good practice to have something in place.

Members were happy with the Protocol.

RESOLVED:

Members noted the contents of the Protocol for dealing with breaches in planning control relating to development undertaken by the County Council under Regulation 3 and approved the Protocol for publication on the County

Council's website.

72. **MONITORING AND ENFORCEMENT UPDATE**

The Committee received a report from the Head of Strategic Planning (Item 9 in the Minute Book) which updated Members on Monitoring and Enforcement work undertaken between January and June 2018.

The officer reassured Members that enforcement officers were very responsive to issues, but that it was important that the County were made aware of them so issues could be investigated.

It was confirmed Newlands Farm in Fareham were currently working to process some of the existing stockpiles, but that a notice was still in place and no further material was being imported.

RESOLVED:

The Committee noted the contents of the report.

Chairman,

This page is intentionally left blank

HAMPSHIRE COUNTY COUNCIL Decision Report

Decision Maker:	Regulatory Committee
Date:	12 September 2018
Title:	Retrospective permission for the permanent siting of a modular classroom for use as a pre-school and school room at Burnham Copse Primary School, Newchurch Road, Tadley, RG26 4HN (Application No. 18/01131/CC3) (Site Ref: BAE024)
Report From:	Head of Strategic Planning

Contact name: Judith Smallman

Tel: 01962 847870

Email: judith.smallman@hants.gov.uk

1. Recommendation

- 1.1. It is recommended that planning permission be granted, subject to the conditions listed in integral Appendix B.

2. Executive Summary

- 2.1 Burnham Copse Primary School has a permanent need to retain a single storey modular building to accommodate pre –school places for children attending a nursery and Breakfast, after school and holiday clubs. The current temporary classroom was granted a time limited planning consent which has now expired (planning permission [13/00762/CC3](#)).

- 2.2 The issues covered in this report are:

- i) the change from temporary to permanent permission for the modular building;
- ii) the traffic impact on residential properties from early morning drop off on Bishopswood Road of children attending Breakfast and Holiday Club & Pre School nursery;
- iii) the noise impact by users of the building and playground area on nearby residential properties during term and holiday periods;
- iv) the location and visual impact of the modular building; and
- v) ground maintenance issues.

- 2.3 Whilst it is acknowledged that there is a visual and amenity impact on nearby local residential properties, this is considered insufficient to outweigh the need for the pre-school places created by the proposal. It is considered that the development would not materially harm the character of the area and provides a service and building that is durable, adaptable and able to respond to changing social and economic conditions and required by the community. The proposal is considered that the proposal would be in

accordance with the relevant policies of the adopted [Basingstoke and Deane Borough Local Plan 2011-2029 \(Adopted May 2016\)](#).

- 2.4 The proposed development is not an Environmental Impact Assessment development under the [Town & Country Planning \(Environmental Impact Assessment\) Regulations 2017](#).
- 2.5 The committee are determining the proposal as a number of objections have been received from local residents to the proposal.
- 2.6 The committee visited the site on 3 September 2018 in advance of the proposal being considered by committee.
- 2.7 That planning permission be granted subject to the conditions listed in integral Appendix B.

3. The Site

- 3.1 Burnham Copse Primary School is located in Tadley within a residential area.
- 3.2 The main vehicular and pedestrian access to the primary school is from Newchurch Road located at the front of the school which links to an on site staff parking area. The main access used to be from Bishopswood Road, a cul-de-sac. However, due to the increasing level of school traffic using this residential road, the main access point changed to Newchurch Road so flipping the aspect of the school back to front. The Bishopswood Road vehicular access is mainly locked and only used in special circumstances. The pedestrian gates are only unlocked at the beginning and end of the school day for security reasons.
- 3.3 Opposite the school entrance on Newchurch Road is a public car park. This is used by parents during drop off and pick up time.
- 3.4 The boundaries of the nearest residential properties on Bishopswood Road are approximately four metres to the west and 1.5 metres to the north of the modular building. The site is mainly screened by vegetation along its boundaries.
- 3.5 The school is located within the safety zone associated with the Aldermaston Research Establishment. As the school is situated within an existing residential area and as the children live in this area, there is not an increased risk associated with being in the safety zone.
- 3.6 The proposed development is not an [Environmental Impact Assessment development under the Town & Country Planning \(Environmental Impact Assessment\) Regulations 2017](#).

4 The Proposal

- 4.1. Burnham Copse Primary School has a need to permanently retain a single storey modular building to accommodate Pre-School children and pre and after school care during term and holiday time. The application is for the permanent retention of the modular building placed on site in July 2013 under a temporary permission (Planning Permission [13/00762/CC3](#)) until 31 August 2017. This permission has now expired.
- 4.2 Hampshire County Council, as the Local Education Authority, has a statutory obligation to ensure there are sufficient pre-school places for parents wishing to send their children to a nursery or pre-school. The current [Hampshire School Places Plan](#), was approved by the Executive Lead Member for Children's Services on 15 January 2018. This Plan acknowledges the fact that there is in Hampshire, as there is nationally, a significant rise in the birth rate over the last 10 years. Migration into the County as well as the Government Pre-School Voucher scheme with 30 hours free nursery care have all increased the pressure on nursery provision beyond the level that was predicted 5 years ago.
- 4.3 The increase in the requirement for pre-school places is not consistent across all areas of the county. In Tadley, where Burnham Copse Primary is situated, there has been a steady demand for more nursery provision in the last 5 years, resulting in the need for this additional permanent facility. As a result of this local demand the building granted a time limited consent in 2013, is now required for the foreseeable future.
- 4.4 The dimensions of the building are 21.22 metres (length) x 8.64 metres (width) x 3.60 metres (height). The roof of the building is shallow pitched in design and coloured grey, with the walls of the building clad with plasticol colour coated panels and coloured moorland green.
- 4.5 The building is not attached to the school, but is on the same site to the rear of the main school buildings. In addition to the actual building, there are associated tarmac footpaths. Drainage and services are linked to those of the main school building.
- 4.6 The building is divided internally into two sections. The section nearest the school buildings provides an opportunity for its pupils to benefit from before and after school activities including a Breakfast Club (Starlings) and activities to support additional learning with supervised recreation. These are an essential part of the school's offer to parents for which there is a significant demand. This section is also used by the school when additional space is required for additional classroom use. The section nearest residential property is used for pre-school children principally during school term time with occasional holiday time use. Whilst the site and its' building is owned by the County Council, the pre-school nursery is privately run. The early morning opening and evening operation reflect the demand for such provision.

- 4.7 The hours of operation for the Breakfast club are 7.30am – 8.30am when the children remain in the modular building prior to be taken across to the main school buildings; the after school from the end of the school day until 6pm and the pre- school nursery school from 8.30am – 6pm. The pedestrian gateway off Bishopswood Road is the most direct access route to the temporary building where these activities take place. The main school entrance off Newchurch Road is not open until 8.00am for security reasons, hence the need to use the Bishopswood Road entrance, which is only open for pedestrian access only during pick up and drop off times.
- 4.8 The school has a School Travel Plan (STP) (2018) which has achieved Bronze STARS accreditation which is a light touch travel plan but is of a national standard. The STP is currently being developed further to take account of new developments in the area which will have an impact on the STP.

5. Planning History

- 5.1 Planning permission was granted on 16 June 2014 (Planning consent [14/00724/CC3](#)) for a single storey two classroom (200m²) extension to the existing school with additional paths, landscaping and associated development including 15 new car parking spaces, 8 new cycle spaces, demolition of old dentist surgery in the school grounds, and increasing height of fence at rear access to 2.4m off New Church Road.
- 5.2 Temporary planning permission was granted on 31 August 2017 ([13/00762/CC3](#)) for a single storey modular classroom.

6. Development Plan and Guidance

- 6.1 The following plans and associated policies are considered to be relevant to the proposal:

[National Planning Policy Framework \(2018\)](#) (NPPF)

- 6.2 The following paragraphs are relevant to this proposal:

- Paragraph 11: Presumption in favour of sustainable development;
- Paragraph 12: Determination in accordance with the development plan;
- Paragraph 94: Ensuring sufficient choice of school places is available to meet the needs of existing and new communities;
- Paragraph 102: Promoting sustainable transport; and
- Paragraph 122: Making effective use of land.

[Basingstoke and Deane Local Plan 2011 to 2029 \(2016\)](#) (BDLP)

- 6.3 The following policies are relevant to the proposal:

- SD1 Presumption in favour of sustainable development;
- CN7 Essential facilities and services;
- CN8 Community, leisure and cultural facilities;
- CN9 Transport; and
- EM10 Delivering high quality development.

7. Consultations

7.1 **Tadley Town Council:** Has no objection.

7.2 **Basingstoke and Deane Borough Council:** Has no objection.

7.3 **Councillor Mellor:** Has no objection and supports the application.

7.4 **Local Highway Authority:** Has no objection.

8. Representations

8.1 Hampshire County Council's [Statement of Community Involvement \(2017\)](#) (SCI) sets out the adopted consultation and publicity procedures associated with determining planning applications.

8.2 In complying with the requirements of the SCI, Hampshire County Council:

- Published a notice of the application in the [Hampshire Independent](#);
- Placed notices of the application at the application site and local area, extending the period of neighbour consultation;
- Consulted all statutory and non-statutory consultees in accordance with [The Town and Country Planning \(Development Management Procedure\) \(England\) Order 2015](#); and
- Notified by letter all residential properties within 50 metres of the boundary of the site.

8.3 As of 22 August 2018, a total of four representations to the proposal have been received. They all object to the proposal. The main areas of concern raised in the objections related to the following areas:

- The change from temporary to permanent permission for the modular building;
- The use of Bishopswood Road for car parking at unsocial hours from early morning drop off by children attending Breakfast & Holiday Club, and Pre- school nursery causing disruption and disturbance to residents and other pedestrians;
- Use of school buildings during school and holiday times causing noise disturbance from children when using the school playground area adjoining residential properties;
- Location and Visual impact on the modular building;
- School Travel Plan not up to date and not enforceable; and
- Ground maintenance issues.

8.4 The above issues will be discussed and addressed primarily within the following commentary, except where identified as not being relevant to the decision or included as a factual record for clarification.

9. Commentary

Principle of the development

9.1 The proposal is on an existing school site and the principle of the use of the site is already established. The temporary classroom has been on site for five years. It is still required to meet the needs of the school and is a facility valued by the local community with children of pre-school and school age as is demonstrated by the number of children which attend. The proposal is considered to be in accordance with Policy SD1 (Presumption in favour of sustainable development) of the Basingstoke and Deane Local Plan 2011-2029 (2016) as well as paragraphs 11: (Presumption in favour of sustainable development) and 12 (Determination in accordance with the development plan) of the National Planning Policy Framework (2018).

The Permanence of the Use

9.2 It has been demonstrated that the school still has a requirement for the additional space, which the modular building provides. The forecasted numbers of children in the area continues to indicate that school pupils requiring a place remain at the current level so there will continue to be a need for this facility. The trend for child care provision is also likely to continue particularly by parents that live in the adjoining residential area that wish for their children to attend the primary school, when of the required age, as the children will be familiar with their surroundings making it an easier transition for them. Basingstoke and Deane Borough Council has not raised an objection to the proposal. The proposal is therefore in accordance with paragraphs 94 (Ensuring sufficient choice of school places) and 122 (Making effective use of land) of the National Planning Policy Framework (2018) as well as Policies SD1 (Presumption in favour of sustainable development), CN7 (Essential facilities and services) and CN8 (Community, Leisure and Cultural facilities) of the Basingstoke and Deane Local Plan 2011-2029.

Highway impact

9.3 The primary school site is within a residential area where the majority of the children can walk to school. This is demonstrated by the existing School Travel Plan (2018). This is currently in the process of being updated. The school also benefits from a car park opposite its' main entrance for parents who need to transport their children by car. The issue raised by local residents relate to parents who drive their children to attend the Pre-school nursery, Breakfast Club and holiday club who use the rear entrance via Bishopswood Road, a cul-de-sac to drop their children off early in the morning. This is because it is the nearest entrance to the modular building. It is understood that the school are aware of this and in addition to ensuring that the pedestrian gate is not open until 7.30am have requested parents to park responsibly in this area to not inconvenience residents. However, any further action is out of the schools' control as it is outside the school

boundary and is therefore an issue for Development Control Highways at Basingstoke and Deane Borough Council who have the powers, if considered necessary to introduce parking restrictions. It is understood that parking restrictions were previously offered but rejected by residents.

- 9.4 The school are in the process of updating the School Travel Plan (2018) through which it will continue to encourage parents to walk with their children. This is in accordance with Paragraph 102 (Encouraging sustainable transport) of the National Planning Policy Framework (2018) as well as Policy T9 (Transport) of the Basingstoke and Deane Borough Local Plan 2011-2029 (2016). It is recognised that with in some instances (e.g. drop off of very young children, requirement to drop children before)that it is not always in practice a workable solution.

Noise impact

- 9.5 Concern has been raised by residents on the noise impact from children using the school playground over extended periods of time. The issue appears to be with children using the Pre-School Nursery & Holiday Club rather than children that use the Breakfast Club who are not allowed in the school playground during its operation to ensure there isn't any noise impact on residential properties. It is accepted that children make a noise during playtime. Prior to the modular building being located in this area, it was a playground for use by the whole school. Since the use of the modular building, for safeguarding reasons, due to the age of the children concerned, an area of the playground has been specifically cordoned off preventing use by all the children attending the school during term time. Any potential noise impact has therefore been reduced since the location of the modular building.
- 9.6 It is accepted that schools are extending their periods of operation to include longer days and holiday periods to meet the needs and demands of working parents which can give rise to conflict with adjoining neighbours. The school has taken steps to reduce this impact and it is not considered that this impact is unacceptable given that the school has always had a school playground in this location and the Pre-School nursery hours also has a later start at 8.30am which is not considered to be particularly early. The Pre-School nursery does not always operate during the whole of every school holiday period.
- 9.7 Basingstoke and Deane Borough Council, which includes the Environmental Health Officer responsible for noise issues, has not raised objection to the proposal. The proposals is therefore in accordance with Policies CN7 (Essential facilities and services) and CN8 (Community, leisure and cultural facilities) of the Basingstoke and Deane Borough Local Plan 2011-2029 (2016) as well as paragraph 94 (Ensuring sufficient choice of school places is available to meet the needs of existing and new communities) of the National Planning Policy Framework (2018).

Location and visual impact

9.8 The modular building had originally been intended as a temporary development to meet the forecasted demands of the school for a certain time scale. It was not known at the time, that this would need to be extended to meet the continued need and demand for the facility. The building has proved to be adaptable in the provision of space required for its different requirements. Its location at the rear of the school site fits in well with the running of the main school as it reduces any disturbance that might otherwise arise. It is not an unusual building to have this type of building on a school site. The modular classroom is not considered to be aesthetically detrimental to the appearance of the main school buildings. It also benefits from having its own pedestrian access which avoids safeguarding issues for the main school site as it can be carefully controlled by those that run these facilities. It is considered to be in accordance with Policies EM10 (Delivering high quality development) of the Basingstoke and Deane Borough Local Plan 2011-2029 (2016).

Ground Maintenance Issues

9.9 The school has vegetation along its boundary including trees. A few trees have already been felled due to their size and impact on residential properties which have been replaced by young trees. The Grounds Maintenance section within the County Council is aware of the issues that have been raised and is dealing with this matter separately and they are not an issue for consideration of the application.

10. Conclusions

10.1 It is considered that the proposal would be in accordance with the relevant policies in the development plan, the Basingstoke and Deane Borough Council 2011-2029 (2016), which support the principle of provision of education facilities and supporting the needs of the community as well as guidance in the National Planning Policy Framework (2018).

Appendices:

Integral Appendix A – Corporate or Legal Information

Integral Appendix B – Conditions

Appendix C - Location Plan

Appendix D – Layout Plan

Other documents relating to this application:

<https://planning.hants.gov.uk/ApplicationDetails.aspx?RecNo=19239>

https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/733637/National_Planning_Policy_Framework_web_accessible_version.pdf

Links to the Strategic Plan

Hampshire maintains strong and sustainable economic growth and prosperity:	No
People in Hampshire live safe, healthy and independent lives:	No
People in Hampshire enjoy a rich and diverse environment:	No
People in Hampshire enjoy being part of strong, inclusive communities:	No

OR

This proposal does not link to the Strategic Plan but, nevertheless, requires a decision because:

The proposal does not link to the Corporate Strategy but, nevertheless, requires a decision because the proposal is an application for planning permission and requires determination by the County Council in its statutory role as the minerals and waste planning authority.

Section 100 D - Local Government Act 1972 - background documents

The following documents discuss facts or matters on which this report, or an important part of it, is based and have been relied upon to a material extent in the preparation of this report. (NB: the list excludes published works and any documents which disclose exempt or confidential information as defined in the Act.)

Document

Location

[18/01131/CC3](#)

Hampshire County Council

BAE024

Burnham Copse Primary School, Newchurch Road, Tadley, RG26 4HN

(Retrospective permission for the permanent siting of a modular classroom for use as a pre-school and school room

CONDITIONS

Plans

1. The development hereby permitted shall be in accordance with the following approved plans: **P10153/TPSNSU/13/01 Rev B.**

Reason: For the avoidance of doubt and in the interests of proper planning.

2. Access to the school site and the modular building shall be controlled by the school in accordance with their safeguarding requirements.

Reason: To endure the safety of children in accordance with Policy EM10 (Delivering high quality development) of the Basingstoke and Deane Borough Local Plan 2011-2029 (2016).

3. In the event that the modular building is no longer required, it shall be removed from the site and the land restored to its former condition within 3 months of the buildings removal.

Reason: To ensure the satisfactory reinstatement of the site to its former condition in the interest of the visual amenity of the site in accordance with Policy EM10 (Delivering high quality development) of the Basingstoke and Deane Borough Local Plan 2011-2029 (2016).

4. The School Travel Plan (2018) shall continue to be reviewed at regular intervals encouraging sustainable means of transport and outlining the school's need to respect the local neighbourhood.

Reason: To ensure the safety of children and reduce the impact on local residents in accordance with Policy T9 (Transport) of the Basingstoke and Deane Borough Local Plan 2011-2029 (2016).


Note to Applicants

1. This decision does not purport or convey any approval or consent which may be required under the Building Regulations or any other Acts, including Byelaws, orders or Regulations made under such acts.
2. In determining this planning application, the Local Planning Authority has worked with the applicant in a positive and proactive manner in accordance with the requirement in the National Planning Policy Framework (2012), as

set out in the Town and Country Planning (Development Management Procedure) (England) Order 2015.

3. [National Planning Policy Framework](#)

This page is intentionally left blank



Retrospective permission for the permanent siting of a modular classroom for use as a pre-school and school room

REGULATORY COMMITTEE

DATE 12 September 2018 1:1,500



APPLICATION NUMBER: 18/01131/CC3

SITE REFERENCE: BAE024

Page 21



Hampshire County Council


Drawn by: Strategic Planning

Economy, Transport and Environment

This page is intentionally left blank

Elevations

Scale 1: 200 At A3



Proposed Modular Temporary Building - Pre-School Nursery & School Room

Scale @ A3 = As Shown

Roof: Pitched Felt - Grey

Walls: Plastisol coated metal - Colour, Moorland Green (from Dobel 200XT range)



Drains: To existing foul sewer and stormwater drains.

External Doors: Merlin Grey


Windows: White UPVC

Floor Plan

Scale 1: 100 At A3



Site Plan - 1:1250 at A3 Showing Proposed Temporary Modular Pre-School Nursery with additional school use in red and the boundary in blue.



Location Plan - 1:2500 at A3 Showing Proposed Temporary Modular Pre-School Nursery with additional school use in red and boundary in blue.

Planning Issue



HCC Property Services,
Three Minsters House,
76 High Street, Winchester SO23 8UL.
tel: (01962) 847801

Notes	
1 This drawing is © copyright Hampshire County Council 2013. No unauthorised reproduction permitted. All rights reserved.	3 This map is reproduced from Ordnance Survey material with the permission of Ordnance Survey on behalf of the controller of Her Majesty's Stationery Office © Crown Copyright. Unauthorised reproduction infringes Crown Copyright and may lead to prosecution or civil proceedings. (100019180), (2013)
2 No dimensions are to be scaled from this drawing	

rev	description	date	by
B	Change windows in school room elevation from 900x550mm to 900x1100mm	31/05/20	BC

PROJECT				SHEET CONTENTS	
Burnham Copse Primary New Church Road, Tadley, Basingstoke, RG26 4HN				Modular Floor Plan & Elevations Site Plan Location Plan	
SCALE @ A3 - As Shown				DATE 12 May 2013	DRAWN. DC
DRAWING No. P10153/TPNSU/13/01				CHKD. ---	REVISION B
FILE REF. File name				Property Services	

This page is intentionally left blank